G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 497 1895

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 7,

(LR)

Later references.

LR 40-00.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9 & 10.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Transfer of Certain Villages from Chamarajanagar Tk to T. Narasipur Tk, and Vice Versa.

W D 68—GPB—50,000—21-7-16

Indexed

(1)

Read

Letter No. 325 dated 5th July 1895 from the Supdt of Revenue Survey, recommending the transfer of certain villages as noted on the margin from the Chamrajnagar Taluk to the Tirumakudlu Narsipur Taluk and vice versa.

Yelandur village	From Chamrajnagar Tk to T. Narsipur Tk	
Kasaba village	From T. Narsipur Tk to Chamrajnagar Tk.	

Letter No. 1055 dated 22d February 1896 from the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, stating the circumstances which render the transfer of villages proposed undesirable

---

Order:—

The transfers of the villages referred to in the above were proposed with the view of rectifying the boundaries of the Taluks concerned, but on a

consideration of the circumstances reported by the Dr Commr, the Govt think there is no need to make any change in existing arrangements (2)

Sect

LL 7/3/46

To The Supdt of Records & Dr Comr Myers Dt.

Comp

(1) Send this at once
& the first draft
to Page about the
Chinese effect of the
wedges as found
experimentally in the
tank in the
Field Laboratory
Mem

25/4/96

(1) attended to

(2) Two draft notes are
put in

Mem
2/4

(General Serial No. 30.)

1896.

7

From The Secretary to Mysore Rev Survey

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 755
R. No. 5-295
9 APR. 96
DIWAN'S OFFICE.

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore. Govt

Dated 7th April 1896

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 3 maps 1 Bfl Counterfoil 1 do Rev Rep

General No.

No. 775

In reply to the Secretary's letter No 3361 R 3241 of 12th March 1896

SUBJECT.

Reporting upon the transfer of certain Sarvamanya and Jodi villages from the Channarayapatna Taluk to the T. of Narsipur Taluk and vice versa

Submitted for orders (Please see 2nd Councillor's order dated 10.3.96 on the draft put up)

VL 10/4/96

Submitted to Secy to Govt VL 11/4/96

24.4.96.

Submitted 2nd Councillor.

As regards the second set of villages, I Grant as recommended.

As regards the first set, he does not see any objection to the withdrawal of transfer. As these 2 villages are T. Narsipur bounded, he proposes transferring them to that Taluk (written)

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev. 11/4/96

Submitted with reference

DRS 10/4

(5) Nanjangade - I do not know how this can be called a Pradesh Rudraiyan Village has affected the reasonable proposal raised objections.

13/4/96

Ramanapura & Channapatna which are in no way connected with the T. Narasipura taluq ought certainly to be Channapatna the inconvenience pleaded by the Swamiji is sentimental and not reasonable - Somehow this taluq

Regd. Medical & Navalur the administrative inconvenience of managing the Temple Swamiji pleaded by the Govt. cannot be lightly overruled - This decision that Mem



villages do remain attached to Channagiri - may be where there is the creek of the temple to the west of the territorial connection with Channagiri the village of Sunagere (S.) which I now understand is attached to Nanjangiri may also be transferred to Channagiri Harapura Akkalli may go from T. Narsipur to Nanjangiri if I understand as I understand Gajjigondanahalli S. is already in Nanjangiri

Send them proposals with which the Govt & Govt may suggest any further remarks which they may make - I suppose there is no necessity to split Channagiri charge.

(6) Mr Grant also must be ascertained

15/4/86

Dear [illegible]

[illegible]

To Mr B K Iyengar
Kandigadaffthe

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
54
JUL. 95
R 39

From

Serial No.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt. of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 5th July 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 325
No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Soliciting ... to the proposed transfer of certain villages from ... Chamarajnagar Tk to the Tk of ... and vice versa

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No 325

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 5th July 1895

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward

for the consideration and order

Orders of Government, the

accompanying copy of letter

No 302 of the 24th Ultimo

from the J. L. Hooton Asst.

Superintendent, Mysore Revenue

Survey together with two

tracings

No 302

(10) Mysore Revenue Survey Office

Bangalore 24th June 1895

To,

Colonel J. P. Grant

Supdt Mysore Revenue

Survey

Sir,

I beg to forward two tracings shewing the position of certain villages of Chamrajnagar and Tirumkodlu-Narsipur Taluks, which lie entirely out of the boundaries of their respective Taluks. The villages referred to are Saramanya Aldur and Saramanya Nanda of Chamrajnagar Taluk which from their position and surroundings might very well be transferred to T. Narsipur Taluk; and Saramanya

Sarvamanya Kempapura and (11) of X Stars
Jodi Kannagal which should
be transferred to Chamrajnagar
Taluk.

2 If you consider the
Transfers desirable, I beg that
early sanction may be obtained
to the same, to enable me to
complete the map of Chamraj-
nagar Taluk.

I have &c
sd/ J. L. Clarke
Assistant Supdt

"Copy"

Asst
Supdt Mysore Rev.
Survey

drawing showing the relative positions of certain villages proposed to be transferred from the Parish of Cahamarguerra to that of Tirankadie - Parishes and vice versa

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant

J. Grant

Supt. Upper Rosenes

Survey

Draft proceedings

1895-96.

(Revenue) (2)

JR 49-95

Copy of

1 Letter No. 302 of 24th June 1895 from Mr Mackay, Asst. Supdt. Mysore Rev. Surv. to Mysore Supdt.

(Survey Supdt's letter No. 325-8 5th July 1895 proposing the transfer of Sarvamangala Alur & Navalur villages from Chamarajnagar to T. Narsipur Taluk, and of Sarvamangala Kempapura & Jodi Kamagal villages from T. Narsipur to Chamarajnagar Taluk) -

Section
File No
Serial No

2 Letter No. 325 of 5th July 1895 from the Supdt. Mys. Sme Rev. Surv. - forwarding the above for the orders of Govt.

× to be obligingly returned.

No 605 dt 11/7/95
Rev 213

In

× ×

Copies with 2 tracings in triplicate

~~Copy of the above letter~~

~~together with the enclosures~~

~~(copy of letter No 302 of 24-6-95~~

~~from Mr. J. L. Mackay, Asstt.~~

~~Supdt. Rev. Survey & the 2 tracings~~

~~therein referred to)~~ to forwarded to the Dy Commr, Mysore with a request that he will consult the Amildars & Jodidars concerned in the matter of the proposed transfer of these villages

and report the result together with his own opinion, at a very early date.

By Order

11-7-95

Rev Secretary

11

10/7/95

No. 1055
Ex. 2.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District,
Camp Vir. Narsipur 22nd. February
1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your memorandum No. 60 A. dated the 11th July 1895 and reminders noted in the margin, calling for a report in re the proposed transfer of Sarvamanya Aldur and Navalur villages from the Chamarajnagar to the Narsipur taluk and of Sarvamanya Kempana: pura and Jodi Kannagal villages from the Nar: sipur taluk to Chamarajnagar taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

dated the 13th December 1895. 13th February 1896.

2. In regard to the first set of villages of Aldur and Navalur that are in the Chamaraj: nagar taluk and which the Survey Superin: tendent proposes to transfer to the Nara: sipur taluk. They are two out of the 14 inam villages belonging to the Chamarajeswara temple at Chama rajnagar. They are all now in

Section
File No. Gen. 311
Serial No.

RECEIVED DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 646
20 FEB. 96
R4462
REVENUE OFFICE

Submitted for orders
of the two transfers
proposed, the transfer of
the Kayanjutta Amaprabam-
kalli Nattamangalam Village
from the Hosepete Sub Taluk
to the Bangalore Taluk has
been sanctioned. Please see
Govt: No 764-42 of 25th July 1896.
R 2933

The other transfer of Villages
from Channapatna to the
T. Narsipur Taluk which
is now reported by the Dy Comm^r
to be inconvenient to the
Inhabitants concerned.

DB
27/2/96

Submitted
Asst to Secy
in
absence
2/2/96

Sealed Box 1 Pr.

The Dy Comm^r's non-
sanction appears reasonable
and the Transfer
proposed - Draft
submitted for
sanction 5/3/96.
orders —

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.

Dated 22nd February 1896.
Despatched 24
Received

General No. 1955
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Giving his opinion in the
proposed transfer of Karia
Mariyappa Halli and Gundalu
from the Channapatna to the
T. Narsipur taluk and of Kanna
Hemmanapura and Yedi Mariyappa
villages from the T. Narsipur
to Channapatna.

Rw
26.2.96
Refer Box
26/2/96

Refer back.
Asst
2/2

ne taluk under the management of the Amildar thereof. He states that though the said 2 villages are farther from Chamarajanagapur than from Terima: Kudlu Narsipur, no inconvenience has been felt by the people thereof. The 14 villages have been divided into two hoblis as per margin and a Sheikdar is appointed to each of them, their pay being met from the temple funds. The proposed transfer is therefore likely to upset these arrangements.

Hobli - No. of villages.
1. Gumnathpur - 8
2. Byadarpur - 6

3. With reference to the proposed transfer of the Sarvamanya village of Kempanapura and the jodi village of Kannagal, the Amildar of the Tirmakudlu Narsipur taluk in which they are now situated reports after consulting the Inam: :dar and the Jodidars concerned that they are against it. The Inamdar of Kempanapur lives in Mysore which is about 20 miles from Tirma: :Kudlu Narsipur. If the village be transferred to Chamarajnagapur, he will have to travel a much longer distance to go there, viz: 15 miles by rail to Nanjengud and thence 22 miles by road to Chamarajnagapur. The jodidars of Kannagal are also against the transfer of their inam village.

4. Under these circumstances, I am unable to recommend either of the above proposals

for sanction.

5. The enclosures of the memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obdt. servant,

Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District.

Draft as forwarded

To. IV (6)

The Superintendent of
Rev: Survey in Mysore



Dated 12/3/96

No 8361 dt 3
R. 3241.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
Nos 320 and 704 dated
5th July 1895 and 7th Dec.
1895, respectively, recommend-
ing the transfer of certain
Sarvamanyam & Jodi villages
from the Chamrajnagar
Taluk to the T. Narsipur
Taluk and Vice Versa,
I am directed to forward
herewith, for favor
of your further opinion, letter
No 1055 of 22d February
1896 in original from the
Dy Comm., Mysore district
representing the circumstance

* With enclosures
* to be obligingly returned.



(20)

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 7th April 1896

To,

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor, in reply to your letter No. 8361 dated 12th March 1896 upon the subject of the transfer of certain villages to and from the T. Narsipur and Chamrajnagar Taluks respectfully

under which the proposed
transfer of villages to Upper Dam
undesirable.

Thank You.

12/3/96

Secretary

WPB

11/3/96

... in the case of Aldeia
and Naraken ... der a way
out of the difficulty, illus-
trated by the accompanying
letter, in original, of the 2nd
the 2nd instant from the
J. P. Chaetay, Assistant
Superintendent, Olyssi Racama
survey with map, which
consists in transferring the
villages of Aldeia and
Naraken as also the ad
lages of Carapina and Kabalia

Crow

(now of the F. Langkawi Islands)

to the Langkawi Islands. This

is the simple cause that suggests

itself if the object sought to

be attained is comfortable

and facility for revenue

management. It certainly

appears to me that the granting

of certain arrangements allud

to by the Deputy Commissioner

Alor Star District at Para 3 of

his letter No 1055 of the 22nd Feb:

1896

W

Votre très obéissant serviteur

Signé Ulysse Rosier

Lundi

No. 144 Kangaroo 2nd Oct 1896

No 1176
6.4.96.

The undersigned begs to state in reply to Survey Superintendents No. 952 of 18th June 1896 that the Main Base Village of Ganagwa Salut, and Pulur of Tatu of Mandyan Salut intervene between Aldur Mandur and Chamiyapan Salut boundary. A Plan of the accompanying Survey will show that it will be better in all respects to transfer Aldur and Mandur together with Ranjur of Kakali of Mandyan Salut to Mapiyapal Salut. This will leave for Original Jumbi of villages of different Salutes that exists at this particular locality.

Asst Supt
Asst Supt

Map
showing position
of detached villages
of Chamarajnagar Tk







(21)

respectively, to make the following remarks.

2 The retention of villages in a Taluk in which they are not territorially situated can only impair the value of our Taluk maps and I was certainly of opinion that Government desired the rectification of such instances of confused grouping for revenue purposes.

1. Sarvemangalam Aldur village } from Chamarajanagar Taluk
2. Sarvamangalam Kasaba village } to Periapatna Taluk.

1. Sarvamangalam Kempapura village } from Periapatna Taluk to
2. Doddi Hanumajal village } Chamarajanagar Taluk.

Section
File No
Serial No 9

Proceedings of the Govt. of Mysore

Read:-

9

Letter No. 32 dated 5th July 1895, from the Supdt of Revenue Survey, recommending the transfer of certain villages as noted in the margin from the Chamarajanagar Taluk to the Seringapatna Taluk and Periapatna Taluk and Heggadadevanakote Taluk

(2). Letter No. 1055 dated 22nd August 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore, stating the circumstances which render the transfer of villages proposed undesirable

(3) Letter No. 976 dated 5th April 1896, from the Superintendent Revenue Survey, stating that the retention of villages in a Taluk in which they are not territorially situated impairs the value of Taluk Maps, that the alterations of the arrangements made in view to place the several Inam villages held by the Chamarajanagar Temple under the jurisdiction of one Amildar, is a small matter when weighed against the desirability of obtaining convenient and natural boundaries; that the proposal since made by Mr. Mackay, in regard to Aldur and Kasaba, namely the transfer of those villages together with the villages of Haroppa and Kahalli of the Periapatna Taluk, to the Ramapuragore Taluk is the simple

Grave that suggests itself if the object sought to be attained is compactness and facility for revenue management, that as regards the villages of Kempana-pur and Kannagal the objections of the Inamdars are probably sentimental and cannot be allowed to interfere with the ~~Management~~ management proposed in the interests of revenue management.

Order Recorded—Camp Hoskote 29/7/96.

The Inam villages of Kempanpur and Kannagalli which are now attached to, & have in some connected with, the Narsipur Taluk, ought certainly to go to the Chamaraja--nagar Taluk. The incon-venience pleaded by the Inamdars is sentimental and not reasonable. The transfer of these villages to the Chamarajanagar Taluk is under the Circumscription, Sanctioned

2. ~~Regarding~~ the proposed transfer of Inam villages under and to the Nanjangud Taluk, — Noted. The administrative inconvenience of managing the Temple Inam villages, pleaded by the Deputy Com-missioner, cannot be lightly ~~regarded~~ overruled. It is desirable that these villages should remain

attached to the Chamarajanagar
Taluk, on which the bulk of
the Temple Jaghire is situated
so bring them immediately in
territorial connection with the
Chamarajanagar Taluk. The
village of Lingapur (S.E.) which
the Government understand is
attached to the Ramjangud
Taluk, may also be transferred
to the Chamarajanagar Taluk,
The villages of Haroper and
Kakalli of the N. Hariper
Taluk may be proposed be
transferred to the
Ramjangud Taluk, if as the
Government understand from
the Sketch furnished by
Mr. Mackay, the village of
Gopinathalli is already in
the latter Taluk. The Deputy
Commissioner, Superintendent
and the Superintendent Revenue
Survey are requested to
favor the Government with
any further remarks which
they may have to make in
regard to these proposals. They
are further requested to state
whether these proposals involve
any necessity to split existing
Shanbogues

Shanbogues

(24)

1896 is a small matter when weighed against the desira=bility of obtaining con=venient and natural bound=aries.

4 As regards the proposed transfer of Kampgaon and Kamagal from the Tiram=kuda Varsipur Taluk to the Channajnagar Taluk, I can see no reason for allowing the wishes and probably senti=mental

mental objections of the
Inamdars to interfere with
the arrangement proposed
which is in my opinion practi-
cably necessary in the interests
of revenue management com-
prised in the fair and
reasonable definition of
Taluk boundaries.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Shantzer Charges.

2. Draft

for Lacy. 26/4/96

25/4

To

The Lt. Commissioner, Brisbane.

" Capt. Rowan Lacy.

25/4.

2 Gazettes for offn

Mysore Dewan Office

No 9683 & 30/4/96
R 3740

Dated, camp Mysore, the 20th of April 1896

Section
File No
Serial No

Notification

The following transfers of villages are ordered.

	Name of village	From what Taluk	To what Taluk
1	Kempanhalli village (Karanangi)	Periapatna Narasipur	Hunsur
2	Kannegal village (part)	do —	do —

By order

draft

30/4/96
Secretary

To

The Canarese Mysore gazette, for publication in English and Canarese in 3 successive issues of the Mysore gazette.

Send to Secy
The Govt sanctioning these transfers will be issued when notice is published in the Government Gazette.
Please call for certified copies

28/4/96

not found in the Rgd

Map
Showing the intervening
villages between Aldur
& Navalur & the
Chamrajnagar boundary.



Proceedings of the Govt. of Mysore



Map
showing position of
detached villages of
T. Narsipur Taluk.